

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GROVER SELLERS
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Honorable Geo. R. Sheppard Comptroller of Public Accounts Austin, Texas

Dear Mr. Sheppard:

SECOND PROPERTY.

Opinion No. 0-7221

Re: Is the \$2.00 fee paid to the State Department of Education for a teacher's certificate subject to a refund, if the certificate was not granted?

Secretaries in the solution of the second

We have given careful consideration to your letter which reads as follows:

"An applicant paid to the State Department of Education Board of Examiners the fee of \$2.00 for a teacher's certificate. The \$2.00 fee man placed in the suspense fund by the State Department of Education. The applicant later moved to Puerto Ricc and does not want a certificate.

"Is the \$2.00 fee subject to refund to the applicant?"

We presume this \$2.00 was paid under the provisions of Article 2878 of the Revised Civil Statutes, which provides that where a person desires to take the examination for a teacher's certificate, he must make application therefor to the County Superintendent, who shall make an investigation, and give him a written recommendation to the County Board of Examiners to examine the applicant. The County Superintendent is required to forward to the State Superintendent all of the papers relative to said application, to be submitted by him to the State Board of Examiners. Said Article specifically provides that "no person shall receive the recommendation of the County Superintendent without first depositing with the County Superintendent the sum of \$4.00 as an examination fee, and the receipt of said fee."

Said statute also requires the County Superintendent when he sends the papers to the State Superintendent to send

him \$2.00 of the \$4.00 paid by the applicant.

As we understand, the request here made is as to whether the \$2.00 that was sent to the State Superintendent can be refunded to the applicant, who has gone to Puerto Rice, and who for said reason does not now desire a teacher's certificate.

Under the law, there was no occasion or reason for this \$2.00 having been placed in the Suspense Account. It was improperly put in said Account. The money was paid under the law as a statutery requirement before the applicant could take his county examination, or before the papers could be sent to the State Superintendent. Under said statute, it is immaterial whether the applicant obtained a certificate; regardless of any fact or condition, he was not and is not entitled to have said money refunded after it was sent to the State Superintendent.

You are advised that the money cannot be refunded; on the other hand, we think the \$2.00 should be placed in the proper fund in the state Treasury.

Fith reference to the general principle of placing funds in the Suspense Account, we enclose you herewith a copy of our Conference Opinion No. 3048, addressed to Henorable Tom C. King, State Auditor, May 8, 1989.

APPROVED TO 1946

APPROVED TO THE PARTY OF T

Very truly yours

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By Grow Barre

Goo. W. Barous Assistant

GYB-MN

Enclosure

